Internation No. PCT/RU2004/000373

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Although claims 66-69 are directed to a diagnostic method practised on the human/animal body, the search has been carried out and based on the alleged effects of the compound.
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
·
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
see additional sheet
1. X As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest  The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  X  No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

#### FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

This International Searching Authority found multiple (groups of) inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. claims: 1-65, 75-82, 84-89

Method for producing antibodies to low immunogenicity antigens and antibodies produced according to such method

2. claims: 66-74

Use of antibodies against E7 oncoprotein for the detection of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and kit therefor

3. claim: 83

Kit for determining a subject's risk for developing spongiform encephalopathy

## INTERNATIONAL EARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Internation Application No PCT/RU2004/000373

<b>—</b>	1				<del></del>
Patent document cited in search repor	1	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE 4224542	A1	27-01-1994	иоп	NE	
JP 09132600	Α	20-05-1997	JP	3174492 B2	11-06-2001
EP 0299354	A	18-01-1989	DE AT AU CA DE EP ES JP	3722967 A1 103989 T 616667 B2 1887988 A 1324331 C 3888887 D1 0299354 A2 2061566 T3 1061499 A	19-01-1989 15-04-1994 07-11-1991 02-02-1989 16-11-1993 11-05-1994 18-01-1989 16-12-1994 08-03-1989
WO 02092131	A	21-11-2002	US BR CA CN WO EP HU JP SK	2002192205 A1 0209562 A 2446555 A1 1525869 A 02092131 A2 1385554 A2 0400840 A2 2005508854 T 15122003 A3	19-12-2002 30-03-2004 21-11-2002 01-09-2004 21-11-2002 04-02-2004 28-07-2004 07-04-2005 05-10-2004
₩O 0065357		02-11-2000	DE AU BG BR CA CN CZ EE WO EP HU JP NO PK TR US	19918141 A1 4297400 A 106021 A 0009843 A 2367696 A1 1347501 A 20013771 A3 200100547 A 0065357 A1 1093585 A1 0200777 A2 30392 A 2002543389 T PA01010546 A 20015094 A 350985 A1 15032001 A3 200103011 T2 2003129667 A1 2003064424 A1	26-10-2000 10-11-2000 28-06-2002 13-02-2002 02-11-2000 01-05-2002 13-03-2002 17-02-2003 02-11-2000 25-04-2001 29-06-2002 29-11-2001 17-12-2002 04-06-2002 17-12-2001 24-02-2003 05-02-2002 21-02-2003 03-04-2003
₩O 0078344	A		AU CA CN EP JP MX WO	5637500 A 2377648 A1 1370078 A 1194164 A1 2003521477 T PA01013186 A 0078344 A1	09-01-2001 28-12-2000 18-09-2002 10-04-2002 15-07-2003 12-08-2002 28-12-2000

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internation Application No PCT/RU2004/000373

A CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 C07K16/00 C07K16/08 G01N33/574 G01N33/53

C07K16/44

C07K16/40

A61K39/385

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  $IPC\ 7\ C07K\ A61K\ G01N$ 

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, PAJ, WPI Data, BIOSIS

	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		<del> </del>
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the	e relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	DE 42 24 542 A1 (DEUTSCHES KREBSFORSCHUNGSZENTRUM STIFTUM OEFFENTLICHEN RECHTS, 69) 27 January 1994 (1994-01-27)	IG DES	1,3,4, 8-14,16, 17, 21-26, 34,35, 39-46, 53-55, 59-65
	column 1, line 32 - line 50		
	column 1, line 62 - line 67		
	column 2, line 22 - line 36 column 2, line 41 - line 52;	laime	
	COLUMN 2, THE 41 - THE J2,		
		-/	
			•
X Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	X Patent family members are listed i	п аллех.
	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C. tegories of cited documents:		
* Special ca		"T" later document published after the inter- or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the	rnational filing date the application but
* Special ca  "A" docume consid  "E" earlier of filing of  "L" docume which	tegories of cited documents :  ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international	"T" later document published after the interest of priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the compared to the constant of particular relevance; the constant of particular relevance of particular relevance of particular relevance of particular relevanc	rnational filing date the application but sory underlying the laimed Invention be considered to current is taken alone laimed invention
Special ca  "A" docume consic "E" earlier of filing of "L" docume which citatio "O" docume other of	itegories of cited documents:  ant defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international later leads to the stablish the publication date of another no other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means	"T" later document published after the interest or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an involve an inventive step who ments, such combined with one or moments, such combination being obvious	rnational filing date the application but eory underlying the laimed Invention be considered to cument is taken alone laimed invention rentive step when the re other such docu-
* Special ca  "A" docume consider  "E" earlier of filing of the citation  "C" docume which citation  "O" docume other of the citation  "P" docume of the citation	ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international late and which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publishion date of another nor other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or	"T" later document published after the interest or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an involve and involve an i	rnational filing date the application but sory underlying the laimed invention be considered to current is taken alone laimed invention rentive step when the re other such docu- is to a person skilled
* Special ca  *A" docume consider  *E" earlier of filing of citation  *U" docume which citation  *O" docume other of the office	tegories of cited documents:  ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international late the international late the international late the international late the document but published on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another in or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means the published prior to the international filing date but	"T" later document published after the interest or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an involve an invention to the considered to involve an invention as such combined with one or moments, such combination being obvious in the art.	rnational filing date the application but sory underlying the laimed Invention be considered to current is taken alone laimed invention ventive step when the ter such docu- ts to a person skilled lamily
* Special ca  *A" docume consider  *E" earlier of filing of citation  *C" docume which citation  *O" docume other of the citation  *P" docume later the consider of the citation  *Date of the citation  *C" docume other of the citation  *A" docume other of the citation of the citat	ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international late with which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another nor other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filing date but and the priority date claimed	"T" later document published after the inter or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an indocument is combined with one or moments, such combination being obvious in the art.  "8" document member of the same patent in the same pate	rnational filing date the application but sory underlying the laimed Invention be considered to current is taken alone laimed invention ventive step when the ter such docu- ts to a person skilled lamily
Special ca "A" docume consider the citation of	ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international late that which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another nor other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means and published prior to the international filling date but nan the priority date claimed  actual completion of the International search  9 April 2005  nailing address of the ISA	"T" later document published after the inter or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an involve and the comment is combined with one or moments, such combination being obviou in the art.  "8" document member of the same patent in the art.  Date of mailing of the International sear	rnational filing date the application but sory underlying the laimed Invention be considered to cument is taken alone laimed invention ventive step when the retother such docu- is to a person skilled
Special ca "A" docume consider the citation of	ent defining the general state of the art which is not lered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international late that which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another nor other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filling date but nan the priority date claimed actual completion of the International search  9 April 2005	"T" later document published after the interest or priority date and not in conflict with cited to understand the principle or the invention  "X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot involve an inventive step when the document of particular relevance; the considered to involve an indocument is combined with one or moments, such combination being obvious in the art.  "8" document member of the same patent in the art.  Date of mailing of the International sear	rnational filing date the application but sory underlying the laimed Invention be considered to cument is taken alone laimed invention ventive step when the retother such docu- is to a person skilled

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internation Application No
PCT/RU2004/000373

C.(Continu	C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to daim No.			
Х	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 1997, no. 09, 30 September 1997 (1997-09-30) & JP 09 132600 A (FUJI YAKUHIN KOGYO KK), 20 May 1997 (1997-05-20) abstract	1,3,4, 8-14,16, 17, 21-25, 85,88			
Y	EP 0 299 354 A (BEHRINGWERKE AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT) 18 January 1989 (1989-01-18) the whole document	1,3,4, 8-14,16, 17, 21-26, 33-35, 39-46, 53-55, 59-65, 75-82,84			
Y	SEEDORF K ET AL: "IDENTIFICATION OF EARLY PROTEINS OF THE HUMAN PAPILLOMA VIRUSES	66-74 1,3,4, 8-14,16,			
	TYPE 16 (HPV 16) AND TYPE 18 (HPV 18) IN CERVICAL CARCINOMA CELLS" EMBO JOURNAL, OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS, SURREY, GB, vol. 6, no. 1, 1987, pages 139-144, XP001021907 ISSN: 0261-4189 the whole document	17, 21-26, 33-35, 39-46, 53-55, 59-65			
,	WO 02/092131 A (BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC; BAXTER HEALTHCARE S.A) 21 November 2002 (2002-11-21) page 3, paragraph 2 - page 4, paragraph 2 page 11, paragraph 3; examples 2-4	84			
	KOLLER MICHAEL F ET AL: "Induction of antibodies against murine full-length prion protein in wild-type mice." JOURNAL OF NEUROIMMUNOLOGY, vol. 132, no. 1-2,	75-82			
	November 2002 (2002-11), pages 113-116, XP002316821 ISSN: 0165-5728 page 113, right-hand column, paragraph 2 - page 114, left-hand column, paragraph 1 page 115, left-hand column, paragraph 3 - page 116, left-hand column, paragraph 1				
	WO 00/65357 A (BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM VETMEDICA) 2 November 2000 (2000-11-02) page 1, line 1 - line 11 page 7, line 28 - page 8, line 29 page 10, line 24 - page 15, line 13; claims; examples	83			
	-/				

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Internatio Application No
PCT/RU2004/000373

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.	
×	WO 00/78344 A (CAPRION PHARMACEUTICALS) 28 December 2000 (2000-12-28) page 3, line 20 - page 4, line 13 page 7, line 9 - line 16 page 31, line 14 - page 32, line 29		83	
		İ		
			· · ·	